Core indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Population density per sq. km.</th>
<th>Decadal growth rate</th>
<th>Literacy</th>
<th>Sex ratio (females per 1000 males)</th>
<th>Official languages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arunachal Pradesh</td>
<td>1,382,611</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>25.92%</td>
<td>66.95%</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>Hindi, Deori, Assamese, English, local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assam</td>
<td>31,169,272</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>16.93%</td>
<td>73.18%</td>
<td>954</td>
<td>Assamese, Bengali (in the Barak Valley), Bodo (in Bodoland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manipur</td>
<td>2,721,756</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>18.65%</td>
<td>79.85%</td>
<td>987</td>
<td>Meiteilon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meghalaya</td>
<td>2,964,007</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>27.82%</td>
<td>75.48%</td>
<td>986</td>
<td>Khasi, Pnar, Garo, Hindi, English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mizoram</td>
<td>1,091,014</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>22.78%</td>
<td>91.58%</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>Mizo, English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nagaland</td>
<td>1,980,602</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>-0.47%</td>
<td>80.11%</td>
<td>931</td>
<td>Nagamese, English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tripura</td>
<td>3,671,032</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>14.75%</td>
<td>87.75%</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>Bengali, Kokborok</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: The data on population, population density per square kilometer, decadal growth rate of population, literacy and sex ratio (females per 1000 males) are available from the Census of India 2011 (provisional figures).

Background of Conflicts in India’s northeast

India’s Northeast traces its formation as a region to the partition of the subcontinent in 1947 and the gradual reorganization of international borders around it both before and after it. As a result, it remains tenuously connected with the rest of India through a narrow corridor, the ‘chicken’s neck’ or ‘Siliguri Corridor’- as it is popularly known, in northern West Bengal, with an approximate width of 33 kilometers on the eastern side and 21 kilometers on the western side. This constitutes barely one per cent of the boundaries of the region, while the remaining over 99 per cent of its borders are international – with China to the North, Bangladesh to the South West, Bhutan to the North West, and Myanmar to the East (Bhaumik 1996).

Presently the region comprises seven Indian states of Assam, Arunachal Pradesh, Manipur, Meghalaya, Mizoram, Nagaland, Tripura – also known as ‘Seven Sisters’ and Sikkim incorporated into the Indian Union in 1974. With the exception of
Nagaland that became a state in 1963, most of the states in the region were reorganized between 1971 and 1987 (Bhaumik 1996).

These states cover a total area of over 255,088 sq. km. (about 7.7 per cent of the India’s territory) and, according to the 2001 Census of India, a population of 38,495,089 persons (roughly 3.74 per cent of India’s population). The region accounts for one of the largest concentrations of tribal people in the country - constituting about 30 percent of the total population - though with a skewed distribution of over 60 percent in Arunachal Pradesh, Manipur, Meghalaya, Mizoram and Nagaland taken together. With the only exception of Kerala outside it, three states of the region - Nagaland, Mizoram and Meghalaya contain an overwhelming majority of Christians (90.02, 87 and 70.03 per cents respectively). The region is characterized by extraordinary ethnic, cultural, religious and linguistic diversity, with more than 160 Scheduled Tribes belonging to five different ethnic groups and over 400 distinct tribal and sub-tribal groupings speaking about 175 languages, and a large and diverse non-tribal population as well concentrated mainly in Assam and Tripura (Bhaumik 2010).

While the Ahoms were successful in gradually consolidating greater part of the region under a single political unit in course of their rule (1228-1826), court chronicles of the Kacharis (1515-1818), the Jaintias (1500-1835) and the Manipur Kings (1714-1949) etc. point out how it had historically retained varying degrees of independence into the nineteenth century when the British took over the region. Colonial rule took nearly a century to finally annex the entire region and exercised its control over the hills primarily as a loosely administered ‘frontier’ area thereby separating it from the ‘subjects’ of the otherwise thickly populated plains (Das 2003).

India’s Northeast has been the theater of the earliest and longest lasting insurgency in the country - in the Naga Hills – then a district of Assam, where violence centering on independentist demand commenced in 1952, followed by the Mizo rebellion in 1966 and a multiplicity of more recent conflicts that have proliferated especially since the late 1970s. According to one estimate, there are about 65 major militant organizations presently operating in the region. Every state in the region excepting Sikkim is currently affected by some form of insurgent violence, and four of these (Assam, Manipur, Nagaland and Tripura) have witnessed scales of conflict that could – at least between 1990 and 2000, be categorized as low intensity conflicts in which fatalities were over 100 but less than 1000 per annum. After the failure of the Agreement for Suspension of Operations with the Naga insurgents (1964-1967), the Government of India entered into separate ceasefire agreements - renewed from time to time till today, with two of the leading factions of National Socialist Council of Nagalim (NSCN) in 1997 and 2001. The Government of India and the National Socialist Council of Nagalim (Isak-Muivah) are now reportedly involved in discussing ‘substantive issues’, while trying to reach a ‘permanent and honorable’ solution to the long-standing problem. Both Mizo National Front (MNF) and the Government of India signed a Memorandum of Understanding in 1986 and Pu. Laldenga – the rebel leader subsequently formed his own political party and became the Chief Minister of the Indian state of Mizoram. The United National Liberation Front (UNLF) – the armed opposition group active in the valley of Manipur questions the ‘Merger Agreement’ that the king of Manipur had signed with the Government of India in 1949 on the ground that the king was ‘forced’ to sign it ‘under duress outside his kingdom’ (Bhaumik 2010). The United Liberation Front of Asom (ULFA) too questions Assam’s inclusion in the Indian Union. Although attempts have been made to bring UNLF and ULFA to the negotiating table, no formal ceasefire agreement could yet be reached with either of these organizations (Das 1994).

The Government’s response to these independentist demands has so far ranged from deploying strong arm tactics of enacting extraordinary legislations (like, the Armed
Forces Special Powers Act 1958 etc.) and ‘firmly dealing with it’ with the help of security forces to trying to reach some form of agreement with the insurgent organizations. Not all agreements have however been equally successful (Samaddar 2004).

Although landlocked on all sides, migration - whether from across the international borders or within, continues unabated thanks to a variety of factors and the region has frequently been rocked by violent tremors of acute xenophobic reactions against the ‘foreigners’/outsiders. Inter-group conflicts based on mutually rivaling ‘homeland’ demands (say, between the Bodos and the non-Bodos, the Karbis and the Dimasas in Assam, the Nagas and the Kukis/Paites in the hills of Manipur, the Mizos and the Brus/Reangs in Mizoram etc.) have of late sparked off widespread ethnic cleansing and internal displacement of population in the region (Samaddar 2005).

Tripura provides a classic case of how the tribals – once a majority in the kingdom, were slowly reduced to a minority and facing the threat of being dispossessed of their land, language and culture. The earliest chronicles available suggest that the state has had a substantial non-tribal Bengali population certainly since the fifteenth century, and the 1901 Census recorded 52.89 per cent of tribals in its population. This equation remained relatively stable till the early 1940s, when communal clashes in British-ruled East Bengal provoked a steady migration into princely Tripura. The trickle turned into a flood during and after Partition. By 1951, the tribal population had fallen to 36.85 percent and further to 28.44 per cent in 1981. The 1991 Census, however, indicated a marginal reversal of the trend, with the tribal population rising to 30.95 per cent. National Liberation Front of Tripura (NLFT) – one of the major rebel organizations active in the state, for example, calls for expelling all Bengalis settling in the state after 9 September 1949 – the date of ‘merger’ of the princely state into the Indian Union (Das 2003).

Although a major problem, the Government often finds it difficult to detect and disenfranchise – let alone deport the foreigners. The Assam movement (1979-1985) brought the foreigners’ problem to the center of public agenda and most of the estimates made during the movement kept their number somewhere between 800,000 and 450,0000. The Asom Gana Parishad (AGP) that came to power in 1985 after an agreement involving a highly complicated procedure of detecting, disenfranchising and deporting the foreigners was reached between the contending parties, was able to detect not more than 8000 of them in course of its tenure (1985-1990) (Das 1994; Misra 2000; Baruah 2005; Banerjee, Basu Ray Chaudhury and Das 2005).

Formal and Informal Actors (Plus Agendas)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Insurgent Group</th>
<th>Agenda</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>United Liberation Front of Assam</td>
<td>Independence and Sovereignty of Assam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Socialist Council of Nagalim’ (I-M)</td>
<td>Integration of Naga-Inhabited Areas’ and ‘Special Federal Relationship with India’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Peoples’ Democratic Solidarity</td>
<td>Anti-Outsider Movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-Aruncahal Pradesh Students’ Union</td>
<td>Anti-‘Foreigners’ Movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peoples’ Consultative Group</td>
<td>Facilitating Talks between ULFA and GOI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Approaches to conflict resolution – policies of regional partners

India’s Northeast refers to the easternmost region of India consisting of Arunachal Pradesh, Assam, Manipur, Meghalya, Mizoram, Nagaland and Tripura. This area is ethnically distinct from the other parts of India. The region is distinguished by a preponderance of the Tibeto-Burman languages. Strong ethnic cultures that had escaped Sanskritization effects permeate the region. These states form a special category. The North Eastern Council (NEC) was constituted as the nodal agency for the economic and social development of these states (Samaddar 2004).

The isolation of the Northeastern states began earlier as a result of British imperialism, when the region was cut-off from its traditional trading partners, like Bhutan and Burma and other parts of Southeast Asia. In 1947, the de-colonization of the Indian subcontinent and partition made this region entirely landlocked, intensifying the isolation. But, of late, this region has turned into an important zone in view of New Delhi’s ‘Look East’ policy. With 98% of its borders with China, Myanmar, Bhutan, Bangladesh and Nepal, India’s Northeast is home to many ethnic groups, which are engaged in self-preservation and movements for autonomy in many cases. Sometimes, these struggles have turned violent, leading to proliferation of armed insurgent groups, like the ULFA, NLFT, NDFB, NSCN (I-M), NSCN (K) and many such outfits. Soon after the Sino-Indian border conflict in 1962 and in view of the growing insurgencies in the region, the security discourse has become predominant (Das 2007; Das 2005).

In this context, the MCRG, in association with the other partners, would like to work particularly on identifying the possible pathways of peace in India’s Northeast. For identifying the possible pathways to conflict resolution, there will be a need to highlight the perspective of justice instead of a predominant perspective of national security. In case of the India’s northeast, quite often the question of human security is neglected. The issue of displacement and the other humanitarian and human rights issues are also crucial. In this connection, there is also a need to study the phenomenon of state violence Banerjee, Basu Ray Chaudhury and Das 2005; Das 2008; Hazarika 2000; Hazarika 1994; Rajagopalan 2008)

In view of all these, there is a need for multi-layered, multiple-level dialogues. Dialogue with the insurgents will not be enough. The dialogues will not be merely for ceasefires between the rebels and the state. Dialogue will be necessary with the members of different segments of the society. That would enhance the peace-building capacity of the society, in general. But, in India, the government usually views all kinds of initiatives for dialogues as anti-state (Samaddar 2004)

In the countries like India, constitution and laws, hitherto enjoying a validity that stems from its origins in a colonial power, and therefore, substantively free from popular deliberations, now needs to self-explain – is it a collection of norms backed by the threat of state sanction or norms whose validity does not primarily stem from the state, but from the fact that these norms guarantee the autonomy of all legal persons equally? In fact, requirements of justice and reconciliation call for new modes of dialogue beyond constitutional prescriptions for mediation, compromise and restraint. (Samaddar 2004) The question of justice, after all, appears to be critical in Bihar in the context of multiple and somewhat overlapping transitions from the colonial state to a post-colonial one, from a primary economy to a manufacturing one, from a state-supported economy to a neo-liberal one.

Governance: approaches and institutions
India’s Northeast is a place, in some ways comparable to the Balkans, where the ongoing protracted conflicts are myriad and multiple in nature. There is conflict between the state and societal groups, conflict among different ethnic groups sharing the same territorial space for centuries, as well as conflict between the union and state governments. To deal with this situation there are arrangements of federal administration, other institutional mechanisms for granting autonomy to the indigenous communities like the autonomous councils proposed in the Sixth Schedule of the Constitution of India. Moreover, there have been peace initiatives as the ongoing peace talks of the Government of India with the insurgent groups like NSCN (I-M) and ULFA (Das 1994; Baruah 2005; Basu 2006; Basu Ray Chaudhury, Das and Samaddar 2005)
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