*** THE ***
JEWISH WRITINGS

Hannah Arendt

Edited by

Jerome Kohn and Ron H. Feldman

SCHOCKEN BOOKS, NEW YORK

In the first place, we don't like to be called "refugees." We ourselves call each other "newcomers" or "immigrants." Our newspapers are papers for "Americans of German language"; and, as far as I know, there is not and never was any club founded by Hitler-persecuted people whose name indicated that its members were refugees.

A refugee used to be a person driven to seek refuge because of some act committed or some political opinion held. Well, it is true we have had to seek refuge; but we committed no acts and most of us never dreamt of having any radical political opinion. With us the meaning of the term "refugee" has changed. Now "refugees" are those of us who have been so unfortunate as to arrive in a new country without means and have to be helped by refugee

Before this war broke out we were even more sensitive about being called refugees. We did our best to prove to other people that we were just ordinary immigrants. We declared that we had departed of our own free will to countries of our choice, and we denied that our situation had anything to do with "so-called Jewish problems." Yes, we were "immigrants" or "newcomers" who had left our country because, one fine day, it no longer suited us to stay, or for purely economic reasons. We wanted to rebuild our lives, that was all. In order to rebuild one's life one has to be strong and an optimist. So we are very optimistic.

Our optimism, indeed, is admirable, even if we say so ourselves. The story of our struggle has finally become known. We lost our home, which means the familiarity of daily life. We lost our occupation, which means the confidence that we are of some use in this world. We lost our language, which means the naturalness of reactions, the simplicity of gestures, the unaffected expression of feelings. We left our relatives in the Polish ghettos

and our best friends have been killed in concentration camps, and that means the rupture of our private lives.

Nevertheless, as soon as we were saved—and most of us had to be saved several times—we started our new lives and tried to follow as closely as possible all the good advice our saviors passed on to us. We were told to forget; and we forgot quicker than anybody ever could imagine. In a friendly way we were reminded that the new country would become a new home; and after four weeks in France or six weeks in America, we pretended to be Frenchmen or Americans. The more optimistic among us would even add that their whole former life had been passed in a kind of unconscious exile and only their new country now taught them what a home really looks like. It is true we sometimes raise objections when we are told to forget about our former work; and our former ideals are usually hard to throw over if our social standard is at stake. With the language, however, we find no difficulties: after a single year optimists are convinced they speak English as well as their mother tongue; and after two years they swear solemnly that they speak English better than any other language—their German is a language they hardly remember.

In order to forget more efficiently we rather avoid any allusion to concentration or internment camps we experienced in nearly all European countries—it might be interpreted as pessimism or lack of confidence in the new homeland. Besides, how often have we been told that nobody likes to listen to all that, hell is no longer a religious belief or a fantasy, but something as real as houses and stones and trees. Apparently nobody wants to know that contemporary history has created a new kind of human beings—the kind that are put in concentration camps by their foes and in internment camps by their friends.

Even among ourselves we don't speak about this past. Instead, we have found our own way of mastering an uncertain future. Since everybody plans and wishes and hopes, so do we. Apart from these general human attitudes, however, we try to clear up the future more scientifically. After so much bad luck we want a course as sure as a gun. Therefore, we leave the earth with all its uncertainties behind and we cast our eyes up to the sky. The stars tell us—rather than the newspapers—when Hitler will be defeated and when we shall become American citizens. We think the stars more reliable advisers than all

benefactors and on what day we have the best chances of filling out one of these countless questionnaires which accompany our present lives. Sometimes we don't rely even on the stars but rather on the lines of our hand or the signs of our handwriting. Thus we learn less about political events but more about our own dear selves, even though somehow psychoanalysis has gone out of fashion. Those happier times are past when bored ladies and gentlemen of high society conversed about the genial misdemeanors of their early childhood. They don't want ghost stories any more; it is real experiences that make their flesh creep. There is no longer any need of bewitching the past; it is spellbound enough in reality. Thus, in spite of our outspoken optimism, we use all sorts of magical tricks to conjure up the spirits of the

I don't know which memories and which thoughts nightly dwell in our dreams. I dare not ask for information, since I, too, had rather be an optimist. But sometimes I imagine that at least nightly we think of our dead or we remember the poems we once loved. I could even understand how our friends of the West Coast, during the curfew, should have had such curious notions as to believe that we are not only "prospective citizens" but present "enemy aliens." In daylight, of course, we become only "technically" enemy aliens—all refugees know this. But when technical reasons prevented you from leaving your home during the dark hours, it certainly was not easy to avoid some dark speculations about the relation between technicality and reality.

No, there is something wrong with our optimism. There are those odd optimists among us who, having made a lot of optimistic speeches, go home and turn on the gas or make use of a skyscraper in quite an unexpected way. They seem to prove that our proclaimed cheerfulness is based on a dangerous readiness for death. Brought up in the conviction that life is the highest good and death the greatest dismay, we became witnesses and victims of worse terrors than death—without having been able to discover a higher ideal than life. Thus, although death lost its horror for us, we became neither willing nor able to risk our lives for a cause. Instead of fighting—or thinking about how to become able to fight back—refugees have got used to wishing death to friends or relatives; if somebody dies, we cheerfully imagine all the trouble he has been saved. Finally many of us end by wishing that we, too, could be saved some trouble, and act accordingly.

Since 1938—since Hitler's invasion of Austria—we have seen how quickly eloquent optimism could change to speechless pessimism. As time went on, we got worse—even more optimistic and even more inclined to suicide. Austrian Jews under Schuschnigg were such a cheerful people—all impartial observers admired them. It was quite wonderful how deeply convinced they were that nothing could happen to them. But when German troops invaded the country and gentile neighbors started riots at Jewish homes, Austrian Jews began to commit suicide.

Unlike other suicides, our friends leave no explanation of their deed, no indictment, no charge against a world that had forced a desperate man to talk and to behave cheerfully to his very last day. Letters left by them are conventional, meaningless documents. Thus, funeral orations we make at their open graves are brief, embarrassed, and very hopeful. Nobody cares about motives; they seem to be clear to all of us.

panic-stricken people in Berlin and Vienna, in Bucharest or Paris, but in New behave according to statistical laws. Suicides occur not only among the ethics. Anyhow, wherever European Jews are living today, they no longer never were quite convinced that the measure of one's skull gives the exact with new experiences. This might be sufficient for those skeptical souls who suicide rate among all civilized nations. I am quite sure those figures are no idea of its content, or that statistics of crime show the exact level of national longer correct, but I cannot prove it with new figures, though I can certainly save the statistical life of the Jewish people we know that Jews had the lowest York and Los Angeles, in Buenos Aires and Montevideo. Jews are being killed as good patriots in wartime? Through their effort to how else could they prove that only a few Jews are criminals and that many people-figures. Even those Jews who furiously deny the existence of the my point I do not even dispose of the sole arguments which impress modern I speak of unpopular facts; and it makes things worse that in order to prove Jewish people give us a fair chance of survival as far as figures are concerned—

On the other hand, there has been little reported about suicides in the ghettos and concentration camps themselves. True, we had very few reports at all from Poland, but we have been fairly well informed about German and French concentration camps.

At the camp of Gurs, for instance, where I had the opportunity of spending

collective action, apparently a kind of protest in order to vex the French. When some of us remarked that we had been shipped there "pour crever" in any case, the general mood turned suddenly into a violent courage to live. The general opinion held that one had to be abnormally asocial and unconcerned about general events if one was still able to interpret the whole accident as personal and individual bad luck and, accordingly, ended one's life personally and individually. But the same people, as soon as they returned to their own individual lives, being faced with seemingly individual problems, changed once more to this insane optimism which is next door to despair.

We are the first nonreligious Jews persecuted—and we are the first ones who, not only in extremis, answer with suicide. Perhaps the philosophers are right who teach that suicide is the best and supreme guarantee of human freedom: not being free to create our lives or the world in which we live, we nevertheless are free to throw life away and to leave the world. Pious Jews, certainly, cannot realize this negative liberty; they perceive murder in suicide, that is, destruction of what man never is able to make, interference with the rights of the Creator. Adonai nathan veadonai lakach ("The Lord hath given and the Lord hath taken away"); and they would add: baruch shem means a blasphemous attack on creation as a whole. The man who kills himself asserts that life is not worth living and the world not worth shelter-

Yet our suicides are no mad rebels who hurl defiance at life and the world, who try to kill in themselves the whole universe. Theirs is a quiet and modest way of vanishing; they seem to apologize for the violent solution they have found for their personal problems. In their opinion, generally, political events had nothing to do with their individual fate; in good or bad times they would believe solely in their personality. Now they find some mysterious shortcomings in themselves which prevent them from getting along. Having felt entitled from their earliest childhood to a certain social standard, they are failures in their own eyes if this standard cannot be kept any longer. Their optimism is the vain attempt to keep head above water. Behind this front of cheerfulness, they constantly struggle with despair of themselves. Finally, they die of a kind of selfishness.

If we are saved we feel humiliated, and if we are helped we feel degraded.

We fight like madmen for private existences with individual destinies, since we are afraid of becoming part of that miserable lot of schnorrers whom we, many of us former philanthropists, remember only too well. Just as once we failed to understand that the so-called schnorrer was a symbol of Jewish destiny and not a schlemiel, so today we don't feel entitled to Jewish solidarity; we cannot realize that we by ourselves are not so much concerned as the whole Jewish people. Sometimes this lack of comprehension has been strongly supported by our protectors. Thus, I remember a director of a great charity concern in Paris who, whenever he received the card of a German-Jewish intellectual with the inevitable "Dr." on it, used to exclaim at the top of his voice, "Herr Doktor, Herr Doktor, Herr Schnorrer!"

The conclusion we drew from such unpleasant experiences was simple enough. To be a doctor of philosophy no longer satisfied us; and we learned that in order to build a new life, one has first to improve on the old one. A nice little fairy tale has been invented to describe our behavior; a forlorn émigré dachshund, in his grief, begins to speak: "Once, when I was a St. Bernard..."

Our new friends, rather overwhelmed by so many stars and famous men, hardly understand that at the basis of all our descriptions of past splendors lies one human truth: once we were somebodies about whom people cared, we were loved by friends, and even known by landlords as paying our rent regularly. Once we could buy our food and ride on the subway without being told we were undesirable. We have become a little hysterical since newspapermen started detecting us and telling us publicly to stop being disagreeable when shopping for milk and bread. We wonder how it can be done; we already are so damnably careful in every moment of our daily lives to avoid anybody guessing who we are, what kind of passport we have, where our birth certificates were filled out—and that Hitler didn't like us. We try the best we can to fit into a world where you have to be sort of politically minded when you buy your food.

Under such circumstances, the St. Bernard grows bigger and bigger. I never can forget that young man who, when expected to accept a certain kind of work, sighed out, "You don't know to whom you speak; I was Section-manager in Karstadt's [a great department store in Berlin]." But there is also the deep despair of that middle-aged man who, going through countless shifts of different committees in order to be saved, finally exclaimed, "And

accepted as a "great man" than as a human being: human being, he began sending cables to great personalities and his big relations. He learned quickly that in this mad world it is much easier to be nobody here knows who I am!" Since nobody would treat him as a dignified

having been jailed because we were Germans, we were not freed because we ernmental order; thus we declared it was all right to be interned. We were the as boches all the same. In the meantime, however, most of us had indeed ing seven years we played the ridiculous role of trying to be Frenchmen—at borderline, we were changed into boches. We were even told that we had to the country, the French government had only to change the name of the firm; first prisonniers volontaires history has ever seen. After the Germans invaded become such loyal Frenchmen that we could not even criticize a French govleast, prospective citizens; but at the beginning of the war we were interned accept this designation if we really were against Hitler's racial theories. Durfrom Germany because we were Jews. But having hardly crossed the French try to put up a front, to hide the facts, and to play roles. We were expelled The less we are free to decide who we are or to live as we like, the more we

In Paris we could not leave our homes after eight o'clock because we were our wealth, like the most loyal member of the Bund der Auslandsdeutschen. the Nazis confiscated our property; but in Brazil we have to pay 30 percent of Our identity is changed so frequently that nobody can find out who we actu-Jews; but in Los Angeles we are restricted because we are "enemy aliens." It is the same story all over the world, repeated again and again. In Europe

shared the opinion of the French Jewish upper classes. Thus, in the very same with their French brethren and called us Jaeckes. The sons of these Jaecke*juden.* But those Jews who really came from Eastern Europe could not agree the Rhine were what they called Polaks—what German Jewry called Ost-French Jewry was absolutely convinced that all Jews coming from beyond family, you could be called a Jaecke by the father and a Polak by the son. haters—the second generation born in France and already duly assimilated— Unfortunately, things don't look any better when we meet with Jews.

pean Jewry, the mere fact of being a refugee has prevented our mingling Since the outbreak of the war and the catastrophe that has befallen Euro-

> for our daily lives than all official proclamations of hospitality and goodwill. of public opinion. And such a silent opinion and practice is more important unwritten social laws, though never publicly admitted, have the great force with native Jewish society, some exceptions only proving the rule. These

matters much worse. The confusion in which we live is partly our own work. many of us, to try a change of identity. And this curious behavior makes political, and legal status is completely confused. Lacking the courage to fight for a change of our social and legal status, we have decided instead, so individuals have the strength to conserve their own integrity if their social, off. Moral standards are much easier kept in the texture of a society. Very few Man is a social animal and life is not easy for him when social ties are cut

a Jew, nobody can foretell all the mad changes he will still have to go through. Cohn. As long as Mr. Cohn can't make up his mind to be what he actually is, Vercingétorix. I think I had better not dilate on the further adventures of Mr. adjustment to the French nation by identifying himself with "our" ancestor that he would spend his future life in France. Therefore, he prepared his ing, he refused to take mere administrative measures seriously, convinced ular residence permit. Having already acquired a great skill in wishful thinkcountry. He arrived in Paris at a bad moment and he never did receive a regpatriotism was required. The German invasion forced Mr. Cohn out of that Mr. Cohn then went to Vienna; to adjust oneself there a definite Austrian garding the fact that they felt so strongly as prospective Czech citizens. Our already under some Nazi pressure, began to expel its Jewish refugees, disrebeen a German one. Time went on and about 1937 the Czech government, a convinced Czech patriot—as true and as loyal a Czech patriot as he had triot. In 1933 that Mr. Cohn found refuge in Prague and very quickly became from Berlin, who had always been a 150 percent German, a German superpafrom Germany; and he will have to start with a description of that Mr. Cohn Some day somebody will write the true story of this Jewish emigration

of the world. Whatever we do, whatever we pretend to be, we reveal nothing but our insane desire to be changed, not to be Jews. All our activities ing of a new personality is as difficult—and as hopeless—as a new creation human existence, which are infinite, as infinite as is creation. But the recover-A man who wants to lose his self discovers, indeed, the possibilities of

are directed to attain this aim: we don't want to be refugees, since we don't want to be Jews; we pretend to be English-speaking people, since Germanspeaking immigrants of recent years are marked as Jews; we don't call ourselves stateless, since the majority of stateless people in the world are Jews; selves we are willing to become loyal Hottentots, only to hide the fact that we are we are willing to become loyal Hottentots, only to hide the fact that we are Jews. We don't succeed and we can't succeed; under the cover of our "optinism" you can easily detect the hopeless sadness of assimilationists.

With us from Germany the word "assimilation" received a "deep" philo-With us from Germany the word "assimilation" received a "deep" philosophical meaning. You can hardly realize how serious we were about it. sophical meaning. You can hardly realize how serious we were about it. sophical meaning. You can hardly realize how serious we happened to happened to be born and to the people whose language we happened to happened to be born and to the people whose language we happened to became quite clear to me once by the words of one of my compatriots who, became quite clear to me once by the words of one of my compatriots who, became quite clear to me once by the words of one of my compatriots who, became fully, knew how to express his feelings. Having just arrived in France, apparently, knew how to express his feelings. Having just arrived in France, apparently, knew how to express his feelings. Having just arrived in France, apparently, knew how to express his feelings. Having just arrived in France, apparently, knew how to express his feelings. Having just arrived in France, apparently, knew how to express his feelings. Having just arrived in France, apparently, knew how to express his feelings. Having just arrived in France, apparently, knew how to express his feelings. Having just arrived in France, apparently, knew how to express his feelings. Having just arrived in France, apparently, knew how to express his feelings. Having just arrived in France, apparently, knew how to express his feelings. Having just arrived in France, apparently, knew how to express his feelings. Having just arrived in France, apparently, knew how to express his feelings. Having just arrived in France, apparently, knew how to express his feelings. Having just arrived in France, apparently, knew how to express his feelings. Having just arrived in France, apparently, knew how to express his feelings. Having just arrived in France, having just arrived in France, apparently, knew how to express his feelings. Having just arrived in France, ha

practice, it is hard to convince people of the sincerity of our repeated transnative mountains. But since patriotism is not yet believed to be a matter of country into which a terrible fate has driven him, promptly sees and loves the has beaten all records. He is that ideal immigrant who always, and in every patriotic people in the world. Let us go back to our Mr. Cohn; he certainly as we are, become suspicious; from their point of view, as a rule, only a loyobtain it from the natives. The natives, confronted with such strange beings full affirmation without our own group because we are not in the position to formations. This struggle makes our own society so intolerant; we demand alty to our old countries is understandable. That makes life very bitter for us. patriotism in our original countries had rather a peculiar aspect. Though it an entire bureaucracy to explore its antiquity and to explain it statistically. was indeed sincere and deep-rooted. We wrote big volumes to prove it; paid We might overcome this suspicion if we would explain that, being Jews, our We had scholars write philosophical dissertations on the predestined har-If patriotism were a matter of routine or practice, we should be the most

mony between Jews and Frenchmen, Jews and Germans, Jews and Hungarians, Jews and ... Our so frequently suspected loyalty of today has a long history. It is the history of 150 years of assimilated Jewry who performed an unprecedented feat: though proving all the time their non-Jewishness, they succeeded in remaining Jews all the same.

The desperate confusion of these Ulysses-wanderers who, unlike their great prototype, don't know who they are is easily explained by their perfect mania for refusing to keep their identity. This mania is much older than the last ten years, which revealed the profound absurdity of our existence. We are like people with a fixed idea who can't help trying continually to disguise an imaginary stigma. Thus we are enthusiastically fond of every new possibility which, being new, seems able to work miracles. We are fascinated by every new nationality in the same way as a woman of tidy size is delighted with every new dress which promises to give her the desired waistline. But she likes the new dress only as long as she believes in its miraculous qualities, and she will throw it away as soon as she discovers that it does not change her stature—or, for that matter, her status.

price in order to be accepted by society. But it is equally true that the very society does not approve us; we are-and always were-ready to pay any us depend entirely upon social standards; we lose confidence in ourselves if few among us who have tried to get along without all these tricks and jokes of ports or birth certificates, and sometimes even income tax receipts, are no social weapon by which one may kill men without any bloodshed; since passactually live in a world in which human beings as such have ceased to exist longer formal papers but matters of social distinction. It is true that most of for quite a while; since society has discovered discrimination as the great human beings. I can hardly imagine an attitude more dangerous, since we unprotected by any specific law or political convention, are nothing but it would mean that we expose ourselves to the fate of human beings who, in this world. If we should start telling the truth that we are nothing but Jews, ences which, as in our case, are repeated time and again. But before you cast the first stone at us, remember that being a Jew does not give any legal status learn from history, it is also true that they may learn from personal experiguises has not yet been able to discourage us. If it is true that men seldom One may be surprised that the apparent uselessness of all our odd dis-

adjustment and assimilation have paid a much higher price than they could afford: they jeopardized the few chances even outlaws are given in a topsyturvy world.

other thread of Jewish tradition—the tradition of Heine, Rahel Varnhagen, outlaws, knew only too well social pariahs and their counterpart, social par-Sholom Aleichem, of Bernard Lazare, Franz Kafka, or even Charlie Chaping with Jewish millionaires and philanthropists, is apt to forget about this venus. Modern Jewish history, having started with court Jews and continu-Both are sons of the nineteenth century which, not knowing legal or political attitude of our Mr. Cohn who tried by every means to become an upstart. are pariah qualities. All Jewish shortcomings-tactlessness, political stupidity, qualities—the "Jewish heart," humanity, humor, disinterested intelligence upstarts, who preferred the status of "conscious pariah." All vaunted Jewish lin. It is the tradition of a minority of Jews who have not wanted to become caste spirit or the essential unreality of financial transactions. humane attitude and their natural insight into reality for the narrowness of There have always been Jews who did not think it worthwhile to change their interiority complexes, and money-grubbing—are characteristic of upstarts. "conscious pariahs," can as little be explained by recent events alone as the The attitude of these few whom, following Bernard Lazare, one may call

History has forced the status of outlaws upon both, upon pariahs and parvenus alike. The latter have not yet accepted the great wisdom of Balzac's "On ne parvient pas deux fois"; thus they don't understand the wild dreams of the former and feel humiliated in sharing their fate. Those few refugees who insist upon telling the truth, even to the point of "indecency," get in exchange for their unpopularity one priceless advantage: history is no longer a closed book to them and politics is no longer the privilege of gentiles. They know that the outlawing of the Jewish people in Europe has been followed closely by the outlawing of most European nations. Refugees driven from country to country represent the vanguard of their peoples—if they keep their identity. For the first time Jewish history is not separate but tied up with that of all other nations. The comity of European peoples went to pieces when, and because, it allowed its weakest member to be excluded and persecuted.

274